

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,588	12/27/2000	Adam T. Lake	42390P10255	1641
7.	590 03/18/2005		EXAM	INER
James H. Salter			AWAD, AMR A	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			2675	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		 				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/752,588	LAKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Amr Awad	2675				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 D	December 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 21-30 and 41-43 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 21-30 and 41-43 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, ,	,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicat writy documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	o∏	(DTO 449)				
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/24/04</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/752,588

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/22/2004 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The references cited in the information disclosure statement received on 09/24/2004 have been considered by the Examiner; see attached PTO-1449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 21-30 and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lander et al. (US patent NO. 5,984,880; hereinafter referred to as Lander) in view of Gouzman et al. (US patent NO. 6,278,441; hereinafter referred to as Gouzman).

Art Unit: 2675

As to independent claim 21, Lander (figure 4) teaches an apparatus that includes a first feedback device (51) to generate a signal in response to a stimulus (col. 3, lines 65-67), a transmitter (the wire connecting the computer (54) to the first feedback device) coupled to the first feedback device (51) to transmit the signal over a network (55) coupled to the transmitter (col. 4, lines 41-48), a receiver (wire connecting the network 55 with computer 53) coupled to the network 55 to receive the signal from the transmitter, and a second feedback device (52) coupled to the receiver to reproduce the stimulus responsive to the signal (col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 4, and col. 4, lines 38-55). Note that Lander shows that the device can use joystick (i.e., input device to simulate the force feedback (col. 14, lines 53-59).

Lender does not specifically show that the first and second feedback devices include haptels (I.e., first and second haptels). Note that Lender (figures 1A-1D and figure 3) describes the way in which the artificial hand is functioning (by providing a plurality of force feedback assembly (1/15), which may qualify as haptels.

However, Gouzman (figures 5A and figures 6A-6B) shows a tactile interface system (input device 200) that includes a plurality of haptels (pins 214) to allow the user to feel the tactile interfacing between the user and the device (col. 9, lines 1-12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teaching of Gouzman having a plurality of tactile pins (haptels) to represent the body of the first and second feedback devices of Lander, so as motivated by Gouzman, to be able to maximize the interaction with the input device to the visually impaired users (col. 2, lines 1-8). Furthermore, having

Application/Control Number: 09/752,588

Art Unit: 2675

plurality of feedback elements in the device of Lander is essential to the device so that it can emulate the movement of the actual part of a human body.

As to claim 22, as can be seen in figures 6A-6B, and the specification, Gouzman shows an array of haptels (pins 214) to form a display.

As to claim 23, Lander shows that the stimulus can be a Biofeedback (temperature, brainwave, body moisture, etc.) (Col. 8, lines 35-41).

As to claim 24, as can be seen in figure 3, Lander shows that the second device is configured into a computer system-pointing device (joystick 52). Gouzman also shows that the device, which includes the haptels, is a mouse (200).

As to claim 25, Lander shows having the tactile feedback as using temperature feedback (col. 14, lines 46-49), which inherently will include thermoelectric device to transfer the temperature into electric signal that can be read by the device.

As to claims 26-30, the claims are method claims correspond to the apparatus of claims 21-25, and would be analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claims 21-25 above.

As to claims 41-42, the claims are substantially similar to independent claims 21 and 26, except that the claims are specifying that the response of the first and the second haptels is due to the stimulus by the second and first haptels, which is taught by Lander (col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 4).

As to claim 43, Lander shows that the stimulus can be a Biofeedback (temperature, brainwave, body moisture, etc.) (Col. 8, lines 35-41).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-30 and 41-43 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amr Awad whose telephone number is (571) 272-7764. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridary from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkwitz can be reached on (571)272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMR A. AWAD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ANN MAIL ANN

A. A.